arXiv:1405.0662v2 [math.AT] 6 Dec 2014

The homotopy type of spaces of coprime polynomials revisited

Andrzej Kozlowski* and Kohhei Yamaguchi†

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study the topology of certain toric varieties X_I, arising as quotients of the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on complements of arrangements of coordinate subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and to improve the homotopy stability dimension for the inclusion map $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \to \mathrm{Map}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ given in [11] by using spectral sequences induced from simplicial resolutions.

1 Introduction.

1.1. Coordinate subspaces and the spaces X_I. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer and let $[n]$ denote the set $[n] = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$. For each subset $\sigma = \{i_1, \cdots, i_s\} \subset [n]$, let $L_\sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ denote *the coordinate subspace in* $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by

$$L_\sigma = \{(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_s} = 0\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let I be any collection of subsets of $[n]$ such that $\mathrm{card}(\sigma) \geq 2$ for all $\sigma \in I$, where $\mathrm{card}(\sigma)$ denotes the number of elements in σ. Let $Y_I \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be *the complement of the arrangement of coordinate subspaces* defined by

$$Y_I = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in I} L_\sigma = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus L(I), \quad \text{where we set} \quad L(I) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in I} L_\sigma. \tag{1.2}$$

Consider the natural free $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on Y_I given by the coordinate-wise multiplication and let X_I denote the orbit space given by

$$X_I = Y_I/\mathbb{C}^* = (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus L(I))/\mathbb{C}^*. \tag{1.3}$$

*Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland (E-mail: akoz@mimuw.edu.pl)

†Department of Mathematics, University of Electro-Communications, Chofu, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan (E-mail: kohhe@im.uec.ac.jp); The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23540079, 26400083.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Clasification.* Primary 55P10; Secondly 55R80, 55P35, 14M25.

Note that X_I coincides with the complex variety considered in [11, page 437], and that there is a principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle

$$Y_I \xrightarrow{p_I} X_I. \tag{1.4}$$

Example 1.1. (i) If $I = I(n) = \{\{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}\}$, $L(I(n)) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and we can identify $X_{I(n)}$ with the $(n-1)$-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$, i.e. $X_{I(n)} = (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})/\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$.

(ii) If $n \geq 3$ and $I = J(n) = \{\{i, j\} : 0 \leq i < j < n\}$, we can be identify $X_{J(n)}$ with the subspace of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ given by $X_{J(n)} = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq i < j < n} H_{i,j}$, where $H_{i,j} = \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_{n-1}] \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} : x_i = x_j = 0\}$.[1]

(iii) In general, we easily see that $X_I = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in I} H_\sigma$, where

$$H_\sigma = \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_{n-1}] \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} : x_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \in \sigma\}. \quad \square$$

The algebraic torus $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ acts on X_I in the natural manner

$$(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}) \cdot [x_0 : \cdots x_{n-1}] = [x_0 : t_1 x_1 : \cdots : t_{n-1} x_{n-1}] \tag{1.5}$$

for $((t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}), [x_0 : \cdots : x_{n-1}]) \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}} \times X_I$, and it is easy to see that X_I is a smooth toric variety. Note that X_I is a non-compact toric variety (its fan is not complete) if $I \neq I(n)$.

1.2. The simplicial complex $K(I)$. There is an alternative and better known way to construct the spaces X_I. Let $[n] = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$ and recall that *a simplicial complex* K on an index set $[n]$ is a collection of subsets σ of $[n]$ which satisfies the condition that any $\tau \subset \sigma$ is contained in K if $\sigma \in K$.[2] For a simplicial complex K on the index set $[n]$, let $U(K)$ denote *the complement of the arrangement of coordinate subspaces* given by

$$U(K) = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \notin K, \sigma \subset [n]} L_\sigma. \tag{1.6}$$

Now recall the following useful result.

Lemma 1.2 ([3], Prop. 8.6)**.** *Let $K(I)$ denote the set of subsets of $[n]$ given by*

$$K(I) = \{\sigma \subset [n] : L_\sigma \not\subset \bigcup_{\tau \in I} L_\tau\} = \{\sigma \subset [n] : \tau \not\subset \sigma \text{ for any } \tau \in I\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Then $K(I)$ is a simplicial complex on the index set $[n]$ such that $U(K(I)) = Y_I$ and that $\bigcup_{\sigma \notin K(I), \sigma \subset [n]} L_\sigma = L(I)$.[3] $\square$

[1] To simplify the notation we will write X_n for $X_{J(n)}$, as in [11].

[2] In this paper a simplicial complex means *an abstract* simplicial complex and we assume that any simplicial complex contains the empty set $\emptyset$.

[3] The assertion of Lemma 1.2 holds even if $\mathrm{card}(\sigma) \geq 1$ for any $\sigma \in I$.

Remark 1.3. (i) Since $\mathbf{0} \notin Y_I$, one can easily see that $K(I) \subset \{\sigma \subset [n] : \sigma \neq [n]\}$.

(ii) One can represent the space X_I in the form

$$X_I = U(K(I))/\mathbb{C}^*, \tag{1.8}$$

and show that (1.8) is a homogeneous coordinate representation of the toric variety X_I ([6, Chapter 5], Remark 7.5).

(iii) We can determine explicitly the fan $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I$ of the toric variety X_I in terms of the simplicial complex $K(I)$ (see Proposition 7.2). □

Example 1.4. (i) If $I = J(n)$, $K(J(n))$ is a simplicial complex consisting of n vertices, $K(J(n)) = \{\emptyset, \{j\} : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$.

(ii) If $I = I(n)$, $K(I(n))$ is a simplicial complex consisting of all proper subsets of $[n]$, $K(I(n)) = \partial\Delta^{n-1} := \{\sigma \subset [n] : \sigma \neq [n]\}$. □

Definition 1.5. Let I be any collection of subsets of $[n]$ and $(X, *)$ a based space. Let $\vee^I X \subset X^n$ denote the subspace consisting of all $(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in X^n$ such that, for each $\sigma \in I$, $x_j = *$ for some $j \in \sigma$ as in [11, page 436].

The space $\vee^I X$ is called *the generalized wedge product* of X of type I, and it is known that there is a homotopy equivalence $\Omega^2_d X_I \simeq \Omega^2(\vee^I \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)$ (see Corollary 6.9).

1.3. Spaces of maps. For connected spaces X and Y, let $\mathrm{Map}(X, Y)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Map}^*(X, Y)$) denote the space consisting of all continuous maps (resp. base-point preserving continuous maps) from X to Y with the compact-open topology. When X and Y are complex manifolds, we denote by $\mathrm{Hol}(X, Y)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hol}^*(X, Y)$) the subspace of $\mathrm{Map}(X, Y)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Map}^*(X, Y)$) consisting of all holomorphic maps (resp. base-point preserving holomorphic maps).

For each integer $d \geq 1$, let $\mathrm{Map}^*_d(S^2, X_I) = \Omega^2_d X_I$ denote the space of all based continuous maps $f : (S^2, \infty) \to (X_I, [1 : \cdots : 1])$ such that $[f] = d \in \mathbb{Z} = \pi_2(X_I)$,[4] where we identify $S^2 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and choose $\infty \in S^2$ and $[1 : \cdots : 1] \in X_I$ as the base points of S^2 and X_I, respectively. Let $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ denote the subspace of $\mathrm{Map}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ consisting of all based holomorphic maps of degree d.

Definition 1.6. (i) Let $\mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})$ denote the space consisting of all monic polynomials $f(z) = z^d + a_1 z^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ of the degree d. Then the space $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ can be identified with the space consisting of all n-tuples $(f_0(z), \cdots, f_{n-1}(z)) \in \mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})^n$ of monic polynomials of the same degree d such that polynomials $f_{i_1}(z), \cdots, f_{i_s}(z)$ have no common root for any $\sigma = \{i_1, \cdots, i_s\} \in I$, i.e. the space $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ is also identified with

$$\big\{(f_0, \cdots, f_{n-1}) \in \mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})^n : \{f_j(z)\}_{j\in\sigma} \text{ have no common root for any } \sigma \in I\big\}.$$

(ii) A map $f : X \to Y$ is called *a homotopy equivalence* (resp. *a homology equivalence*) *up to dimension* D if the induced homomorphism $f_* : \pi_k(X) \to \pi_k(Y)$ (resp. $f_* : H_k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to$

[4] Note that X_I is simply-connected and $\pi_2(X_I) = \mathbb{Z}$ (for the details see Lemma 6.7).

$H_k(Y,\mathbb{Z})$) is an isomorphism for any $k < D$ and an epimorphism if $k = D$. Similarly, it is called *a homotopy equivalence* (resp. *a homology equivalence*) *through dimension* D if $f_* : \pi_k(X) \to \pi_k(Y)$ (resp. $f_* : H_k(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H_k(Y,\mathbb{Z})$) is an isomorphism for any $k \leq D$.

(iii) Let $r_{\min}(I)$ denote the positive integer defined by

$$r_{\min}(I) = \min\{\mathrm{card}(\sigma) : \sigma \in I\}. \tag{1.9}$$

Remark 1.7. Note that $r_{\min}(I)$ is an integer such that $2 \leq r_{\min}(I) \leq n$. For example, $r_{\min}(I(n)) = n$ and $r_{\min}(J(n)) = 2$. □

Now recall the following two results given in [11] and [18].

Theorem 1.8 (G. Segal, [18]; The case $I = I(n)$)**.** *The inclusion map*

$$i_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \to \mathrm{Map}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) = \Omega^2_d \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} \simeq \Omega^2 S^{2n-1}$$

is a homotopy equivalence up to dimension $(2n-3)d$. □

Theorem 1.9 ([11])**.** *The inclusion map*

$$i_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \to \mathrm{Map}^*_d(S^2, X_I) = \Omega^2_d X_I \simeq \Omega^2(\vee^I \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)$$

is a homotopy equivalence up to dimension d. □

1.4. The main results. The main purpose of this paper is to improve the homotopy stability dimension of the above two results as follows.

Theorem 1.10. *If* $r_{\min}(I) \geq 3$*, the inclusion map*

$$i_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \to \mathrm{Map}^*_d(S^2, X_I) = \Omega^2_d X_I \simeq \Omega^2(\vee^I \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)$$

is a homotopy equivalence through dimension $D(I;d) = (2r_{\min}(I) - 3)d - 2$.

Theorem 1.11 (The case $I = I(n)$)**.** *If* $n \geq 3$*, the inclusion map*

$$i_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \to \mathrm{Map}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) = \Omega^2_d \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} \simeq \Omega^2 S^{2n-1}$$

is a homotopy equivalence through dimension $D^*(d,n) = (2n-3)(d+1) - 1$.

Remark 1.12. (i) We can prove that the inclusion map i_d (of Theorem 1.10) is a homology equivalence through dimension $D(I;d)$ for $r_{\min}(I) = 2$. But if $r_{\min}(I) = 2$, $D(I;d) = d-2 < d$ and the stability dimension in Theorem 1.10 is weaker than that in Theorem 1.9.

(ii) One can give another proof of Theorem 1.11 by using [2] and [4] (see §5). □

Theorem 1.10 should be compared with the following result given in [17].

Theorem 1.13 (J. Mostovoy and E. Munguia-Villanueva, [17]). *Let $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ be a smooth compact toric variety associated to a fan $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. Then, if $1 \leq m < r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$, the inclusion of the space of morphisms $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^m \to X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ given by homogeneous polynomials of fixed degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_r$ in Cox's homogeneous coordinates ([5]) into the space $\Omega^m X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ is a homology equivalence through dimension $D_*(d_1, \cdots d_r; m)$, where we set*

$$d = \min\{d_1, \cdots, d_r\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_*(d_1, \cdots d_r; m) = (2r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} - 2m - 1)d - 2. \tag{1.10}$$

Here, $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ denotes the positive integer defined in (7.4), r is the number of one dimensional cones in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, and $d_1, \ldots, d_r$ are the degrees of the homogeneous polynomials representing the morphisms in Cox's homogeneous coordinates. □

Remark 1.14. The space X_I is a non-compact toric variety if $I \neq I(n)$. This means that the Theorem 1.13 cannot be applied for the case $m = 1$ when $I \neq I(n)$. It is not immediately obvious how the two bounds are related, since the bound in Theorem 1.13 is defined in terms of the properties of the fan of a toric variety while the bound in Theorem 1.10 is given in terms of the orbits of the torus action. However, the bounds actually turn out to be the same (see Lemma 7.8 and Remark 7.9). Thus our theorem shows that the above result given in Theorem 1.13 holds also for a class of non-compact toric varieties X_I, at least for $m = 1$.

Note that there are no non-constant holomorphic map from $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1$ to $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ for $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \mathbb{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ or $\mathbb{C}^n$. Because $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = 0$ or 1 iff $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \mathbb{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, we need the assumption that $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \geq 2$. Moreover, because the number $D_*(d_1, \cdots, d_r; m)$ is a negative integer if $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \leq m$, we also need to assume that $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} > m \geq 1$. It is natural to ask: is the result of Theorem 1.13 still true for a non-compact smooth toric variety $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ such that $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} > m \geq 1$?

It is clear that the proof of Theorem 1.13 cannot be used because it relies on the Stone-Weierestrass theorem and the fact that for any connected space E and a compact Riemannian manifold X there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that any two maps from E to X that are uniformly ϵ-close are homotopic. Since this is clearly not true if $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ is not compact, a different argument is needed. In the case $m = 1$ and $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = X_I$, we can use a variant of Segal's "scanning map" argument (see [18]), which we will describe in the next section. □

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we recall the "stable result" of [11] (Theorem 2.5) and state the unstable results (Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8). In §3 we recall the definitions of simplicial resolutions and in §4 construct the Vassiliev spectral sequences associated with a non-degenerate simplicial resolution and with the corresponding truncated ones. In §5, we give proofs of the main unstable results (Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8) and prove Theorem 1.11. In §6, we review several basic facts concerning the topology of the space X_I from the point of view of polyhedral products, and prove the existence of a certain fibration sequence (Proposition 6.8). Finally in §7 we study the relation between the simplicial complex $K(I)$ and the fan $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I$, and give the proof of the main result (Theorem 1.10).

2 Stabilization.

2.1. Stabilization maps. First we review several definitions and basic results concerning stabilization obtained in [11].

Definition 2.1. (i) Let S_d denote the symmetric group on d letters. For a space X, S_d acts on $X^d = X \times \cdots \times X$ (d-times) by permuting coordinates and let $\mathrm{SP}^d(X)$ denote the d-th symmetric product of X given by the orbit space $\mathrm{SP}^d(X) = X^d/S_d$.

(ii) Let $F(X,d) \subset X^d$ denote the configuration space

$$F(X,d) = \{(x_0, \cdots, x_{d-1}) \in X^d : x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\} \tag{2.1}$$

of *ordered d-distinct points in X*. Since it is S_d-invariant, we can define a subspace $C_d(X) \subset \mathrm{SP}^d(X)$ as the orbit space $C_d(X) = F(X,d)/S_d$. It is usually called *the configuration space of unordered d-distinct points in X*.

Remark 2.2. Note that each element $\alpha \in \mathrm{SP}^d(X)$ can be represented as a formal sum $\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^r n_k x_k$, where $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^r$ are mutually distinct points in X and each n_k is a positive integer such that $\sum_{k=0}^r n_k = d$. □

Definition 2.3. For each space X, let $E_d^I(X)$ denote the space defined by

$$E_d^I(X) = \{(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{n-1}) \in \mathrm{SP}^d(X)^n : \cap_{j\in\sigma}\xi_j = \emptyset \text{ for any } \sigma \in I\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Note that one can identify $\mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})$ with the space $\mathrm{SP}^d(\mathbb{C})$ by the correspondence $\prod_{k=0}^r (z - x_k)^{n_k} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^r n_k x_k$. It is also easy to see that, with this identification, there is a natural homeomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hol}_d^*(S^2, X_I) \cong E_d^I(\mathbb{C}). \tag{2.3}$$

Since there is a homeomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong U_d := \{x \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(x) < d\}$, by choosing any n distinct points $\{x_{k;d}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ with $d < \mathrm{Re}(x_{k;d}) < d+1$, one can define the map

$$\hat{s}_d : E_d^I(U_d) \to E_{d+1}^I(U_{d+1}) \tag{2.4}$$

by $\hat{s}_d(\xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{n-1}) = (\xi_0 + x_{0;d}, \cdots, \xi_{n-1} + x_{n-1;d})$. Then *the stabilization map*

$$s_d : \mathrm{Hol}_d^*(S^2, X_I) \to \mathrm{Hol}_{d+1}^*(S^2, X_I) \tag{2.5}$$

is defined as the composite of maps

$$\mathrm{Hol}_d^*(S^2, X_I) \cong E_d^I(\mathbb{C}) \cong E_d^I(U_d) \xrightarrow{\hat{s}_d} E_{d+1}^I(U_{d+1}) \cong E_{d+1}^I(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{Hol}_{d+1}^*(S^2, X_I).$$

Remark 2.4. (i) Note that, while the map s_d depends on the choice of the points $\{x_{k;d}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$, its homotopy class does not.

(ii) If we choose a sufficiently small number $\epsilon > 0$ and denote by V_k ($0 \leq k \leq n-1$) the open disk of radius ϵ with center $x_{k;d}$, then we may suppose that $V_k \cap V_j = \emptyset$ if $k \neq j$ and that $V_k \subset U_{d+1} \setminus \overline{U}_d$ for each $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

In this situation, the map $\hat{s}_d : E^I_d(U_d) \to E^I_{d+1}(U_{d+1})$ extends to the open embedding

$$\hat{s}'_d : V_0 \times V_1 \times \cdots \times V_{n-1} \times E^I_d(U_d) \to E^I_{d+1}(U_{d+1}) \tag{2.6}$$

by $\hat{s}'_d(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}, \xi_0, \cdots, \xi_{n-1}) = (\xi_0 + x_0, \cdots, \xi_{n-1} + x_{n-1})$. Since there is a homeomorphism $\mathbb{C} \cong V_k$, the stabilization map s_d naturally extends to the open embedding

$$\overline{s}_d : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \to \mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+1}(S^2, X_I), \tag{2.7}$$

which will be used in §4 (see (4.6)).

2.2. Homological stability. Recall the following result which can be easily proved by using Theorem 1.9.

Theorem 2.5 ([11])**.** *$i_\infty = \lim_{d\to\infty} i_d : \lim_{d\to\infty} \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \lim_{d\to\infty} \Omega^2_d X_I \simeq \Omega^2_0 X_I$ is a homotopy equivalence.* □

Remark 2.6. The proof, of this result (Theorem 2.5), whose details were omitted in [11], requires only the use of Graeme Segal's well known "scanning map" method (eg. [9], [10], [11], [12], [18]) and the existence of a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega^2_0 X_I \simeq \Omega^2(\vee^I \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty). \tag{2.8}$$

In [11] we originally obtained the above homotopy equivalence by using Segal's fibration sequence [18, §2]. However, for the sake of completeness we will give another proof of (2.8) in §6 (Corollary 6.9). □

The key steps in the proofs of the main results of this paper are the following theorems:

Theorem 2.7. *The stabilization map $s_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \to \mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+1}(S^2, X_I)$ is a homology equivalence through dimension $D(I; d)$.*

Theorem 2.8. *If $I = I(n)$, the stabilization map*

$$s_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \to \mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+1}(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1})$$

is a homology equivalence through dimension $D^*(d, n) = (2n-3)(d+1) - 1$.

Proofs of Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8 are given in §5.

3 Simplicial resolutions.

In this section, we give the definitions of and summarize the basic facts about non-degenerate simplicial resolutions and the associated truncated simplicial resolutions of maps ([1], [14], [15], [16], [20]).

Definition 3.1. (i) For a finite set $\boldsymbol{v} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_l\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, let $\sigma(\boldsymbol{v})$ denote the convex hull spanned by $\boldsymbol{v}$. Let $h : X \to Y$ be a surjective map such that $h^{-1}(y)$ is a finite set for any $y \in Y$, and let $i : X \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be an embedding. Let $\mathcal{X}^\Delta$ and $h^\Delta : \mathcal{X}^\Delta \to Y$ denote the space and the map defined by

$$\mathcal{X}^\Delta = \big\{(y,u) \in Y \times \mathbb{R}^N : u \in \sigma(i(h^{-1}(y)))\big\} \subset Y \times \mathbb{R}^N,\ h^\Delta(y,u) = y. \tag{3.1}$$

The pair $(\mathcal{X}^\Delta, h^\Delta)$ is called *the simplicial resolution of* (h,i). In particular, $(\mathcal{X}^\Delta, h^\Delta)$ is called *a non-degenerate simplicial resolution* if for each $y \in Y$ any k points of $i(h^{-1}(y))$ span $(k-1)$-dimensional simplex of $\mathbb{R}^N$.

(ii) For each $k \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{X}^\Delta_k \subset \mathcal{X}^\Delta$ be the subspace given by

$$\mathcal{X}^\Delta_k = \big\{(y,u) \in \mathcal{X}^\Delta : u \in \sigma(\boldsymbol{v}), \boldsymbol{v} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_l\} \subset i(h^{-1}(y)),\ l \leq k\big\}. \tag{3.2}$$

We make identification $X = \mathcal{X}^\Delta_1$ by identifying $x \in X$ with the pair $(h(x), i(x)) \in \mathcal{X}^\Delta_1$, and we note that there is an increasing filtration

$$\emptyset = \mathcal{X}^\Delta_0 \subset X = \mathcal{X}^\Delta_1 \subset \mathcal{X}^\Delta_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{X}^\Delta_k \subset \mathcal{X}^\Delta_{k+1} \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}^\Delta_k = \mathcal{X}^\Delta. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.2 ([15], [16], [20])**.** *Let $h : X \to Y$ be a surjective map such that $h^{-1}(y)$ is a finite set for any $y \in Y$, $i : X \to \mathbb{R}^N$ an embedding, and let $(\mathcal{X}^\Delta, h^\Delta)$ denote the simplicial resolution of (h,i).*

(i) *If X and Y are semi-algebraic spaces and the two maps h, i are semi-algebraic maps, then $h^\Delta : \mathcal{X}^\Delta \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y$ is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, there is an embedding $j : X \to \mathbb{R}^M$ such that the associated simplicial resolution $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta, \tilde{h}^\Delta)$ of (h,j) is non-degenerate.*

(ii) *If there is an embedding $j : X \to \mathbb{R}^M$ such that its associated simplicial resolution $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta, \tilde{h}^\Delta)$ is non-degenerate, the space $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta$ is uniquely determined up to homeomorphism and there is a filtration preserving homotopy equivalence $q^\Delta : \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{X}^\Delta$ such that $q^\Delta | X = id_X$.* □

Remark 3.3. Even when a surjective map $h : X \to Y$ is not finite to one, it is still possible to construct an associated non-degenerate simplicial resolution. It is easy to show (see [20] chapter III) that there exists a sequence of embeddings $\{\tilde{i}_k : X \to \mathbb{R}^{N_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying the following two conditions for each $k \geq 1$:

$(3.3)_k$ (i) For any $y \in Y$, any t points of the set $\tilde{i}_k(h^{-1}(y))$ span a $(t-1)$-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{N_k}$ if $t \leq 2k$.

(ii) $N_k \leq N_{k+1}$ and if we identify $\mathbb{R}^{N_k}$ with a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{N_{k+1}}$, then $\tilde{i}_{k+1} = \hat{i} \circ \tilde{i}_k$, where $\hat{i} : \mathbb{R}^{N_k} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{R}^{N_{k+1}}$ denotes the inclusion.

A general non-degenerate simplicial resolution may be constructed by choosing a sequence of embeddings $\{\tilde{i}_k : X \to \mathbb{R}^{N_k}\}_{k\geq 1}$ satisfying the above two conditions for each $k \geq 1$. We then let $\mathcal{X}^{\Delta}_k = \big\{(y,u) \in Y \times \mathbb{R}^{N_k} : u \in \sigma(\boldsymbol{v}), \boldsymbol{v} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_l\} \subset \tilde{i}_k(h^{-1}(y)), l \leq k\big\}$. Identifying naturally $\mathcal{X}^{\Delta}_k$ with a subspace of $\mathcal{X}^{\Delta}_{k+1}$, we can define the non-degenerate simplicial resolution $\mathcal{X}^{\Delta}$ of h as the union $\mathcal{X}^{\Delta} = \bigcup\limits_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{X}^{\Delta}_k$. □

Definition 3.4. Let $h : X \to Y$ be a surjective semi-algebraic map between semi-algebraic spaces, $j : X \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a semi-algebraic embedding, and let $(\mathcal{X}^{\Delta}, h^{\Delta} : \mathcal{X}^{\Delta} \to Y)$ denote the associated non-degenerate simplicial resolution of (h, j).

Let k be a fixed positive integer and let $h_k : \mathcal{X}^{\Delta}_k \to Y$ be the map defined by the restriction $h_k := h^{\Delta}|\mathcal{X}^{\Delta}_k$. The fibres of the map h_k are $(k-1)$-skeleta of the fibres of h^{Δ} and, in general, always fail to be simplices over the subspace $Y_k = \{y \in Y : \mathrm{card}(h^{-1}(y)) > k\}$. Let $Y(k)$ denote the closure of the subspace Y_k. We modify the subspace $\mathcal{X}^{\Delta}_k$ so as to make all the fibres of h_k contractible by adding to each fibre of $Y(k)$ a cone whose base is this fibre. We denote by $X^{\Delta}(k)$ this resulting space and by $h^{\Delta}_k : X^{\Delta}(k) \to Y$ the natural extension of h_k.

Following [16], we call the map $h^{\Delta}_k : X^{\Delta}(k) \to Y$ *the truncated* (*after the k-th term*) *simplicial resolution* of Y. Note that that there is a natural filtration

$$\emptyset = X^{\Delta}_0 \subset X^{\Delta}_1 \subset \cdots \subset X^{\Delta}_l \subset X^{\Delta}_{l+1} \subset \cdots \subset X^{\Delta}_k \subset X^{\Delta}_{k+1} = X^{\Delta}_{k+2} = \cdots = X^{\Delta}(k),$$

where $X^{\Delta}_l = \mathcal{X}^{\Delta}_l$ if $l \leq k$ and $X^{\Delta}_l = X^{\Delta}(k)$ if $l > k$.

Lemma 3.5 ([16] (cf. [14], Remark 2.4 and Lemma 2.5))**.** *Under the same assumptions and notations as in Definition 3.4, the map* $h^{\Delta}_k : X^{\Delta}(k) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y$ *is a homotopy equivalence.* □

4 The Vassiliev spectral sequence.

4.1. Vassiliev spectral sequences. In this section, we identify $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ with the space of all n-tuples $(f_0(z), \cdots, f_{n-1}(z)) \in \mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})^n$ of monic polynomials of the same degree d such that $f_{i_1}(z), \cdots, f_{i_s}(z)$ have no common root for any $\sigma = \{i_1, \cdots, i_s\} \in I$ as in Definition 1.6.

Definition 4.1. (i) By the *the discriminant* Σ_d of $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ in $\mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})^n$ we mean the complement

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_d &= \mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})^n \setminus \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)\\ &= \{(f_0, \cdots, f_{n-1}) \in \mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})^n : (f_0(x), \cdots, f_{n-1}(x)) \in L(I) \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{C}\}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $Z_d \subset \Sigma_d \times \mathbb{C}$ denote *the tautological normalization* of Σ_d consisting of all pairs $(F, x) = ((f_0, \ldots, f_{n-1}), x) \in \Sigma_d \times \mathbb{C}$ such that $(f_0(x), \cdots, f_{n-1}(x)) \in L(I)$. Projection on the first factor gives a surjective map $\pi_d : Z_d \to \Sigma_d$

Our goal in this section is to construct, by means of the *non-degenerate* simplicial resolution of the discriminant, a spectral sequence converging to the homology of $\mathrm{Hol}_d^*(S^2, X_I)$.

Definition 4.2. Let $(\mathcal{X}^d, \pi_d^\Delta : \mathcal{X}^d \to \Sigma_d)$ be the non-degenerate simplicial resolution associated to the surjective map $\pi_d : Z_d \to \Sigma_d$ with the natural increasing filtration as in Definition 3.1,

$$\emptyset = \mathcal{X}_0^d \subset \mathcal{X}_1^d \subset \mathcal{X}_2^d \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{X}^d = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_k^d.$$

By Lemma 3.2, the map $\pi_d^\Delta : \mathcal{X}^d \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma_d$ is a homotopy equivalence which extends to a homotopy equivalence $\pi_{d+}^\Delta : \mathcal{X}_+^d \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma_{d+}$, where X_+ denotes the one-point compactification of a locally compact space X. Since $\mathcal{X}_{k\,+}^d / \mathcal{X}_{k-1\,+}^d \cong (\mathcal{X}_k^d \setminus \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^d)_+$, we have a spectral sequence

$$\left\{E_{t;d}^{k,s}, d_t : E_{t;d}^{k,s} \to E_{t;d}^{k+t,s+1-t}\right\} \Rightarrow H_c^{k+s}(\Sigma_d, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $E_{1;d}^{k,s} = \tilde{H}_c^{k+s}(\mathcal{X}_k^d \setminus \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^d, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_c^k(X, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the cohomology group with compact supports given by $H_c^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^k(X_+, \mathbb{Z})$.

Since there is a homeomorphism $\mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})^n \cong \mathbb{C}^{dn}$, by Alexander duality there is a natural isomorphism

$$\tilde{H}_k(\mathrm{Hol}_d^*(S^2, X_I), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}_c^{2nd-k-1}(\Sigma_d, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for any } k. \tag{4.1}$$

By reindexing we obtain a spectral sequence

$$\left\{E_{k,s}^{t;d}, \tilde{d}^t : E_{k,s}^{t;d} \to E_{k+t,s+t-1}^{t;d}\right\} \Rightarrow H_{s-k}(\mathrm{Hol}_d^*(S^2, X_I), \mathbb{Z}), \tag{4.2}$$

where $E_{k,s}^{1;d} = \tilde{H}_c^{2nd+k-s-1}(\mathcal{X}_k^d \setminus \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^d, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $L_{k;I} \subset (\mathbb{C} \times L(I))^k$ denote the subspace defined by

$$L_{k;I} = \{((x_1, s_1), \cdots, (x_k, s_k)) : x_j \in \mathbb{C}, s_j \in L(I), x_l \neq x_j \text{ if } l \neq j\}.$$

The symmetric group S_k on k letters acts on $L_{k;I}$ by permuting coordinates. Let $C_{k;I}$ denote the orbit space

$$C_{k;I} = L_{k;I}/S_k. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that $C_{k;I}$ is a cell-complex of dimension $2(1 + n - r_{\min}(I))k$.

Lemma 4.3. *If $1 \leq k \leq d$, $\mathcal{X}_k^d \setminus \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^d$ is homeomorphic to the total space of a real affine bundle $\xi_{d,k}$ over $C_{k;I}$ with rank $l_{d,k} = 2n(d-k) + k - 1$.*

Proof. The argument is exactly analogous to the one in the proof of [1, Lemma 4.4]. Namely, an element of $\mathcal{X}_k^d \setminus \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^d$ is represented by $(F, u) = ((f_0, \cdots, f_{n-1}), u)$, where $F = (f_0, \cdots, f_{n-1})$ is an n-tuple of polynomials in Σ_d and u is an element of the interior of the span of the images of k distinct points $\{x_1, \cdots, x_k\} \in C_k(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F(x_j) =$

$(f_0(x_j), \cdots, f_{n-1}(x_j)) \in L(I)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$, under a suitable embedding. Since the k distinct points $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are uniquely determined by u, by the definition of the non-degenerate simplicial resolution (cf. $(3.3)_k$), there are projection maps $\pi_{k,d} : \mathcal{X}_k^d \setminus \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^d \to C_{k;I}$ defined by $((f_0, \cdots, f_{n-1}), u) \mapsto \{(x_1, F(x_1)), \ldots, (x_k, F(x_k))\}$.

Now suppose that $1 \leq k \leq d$. Let $c = \{(x_j, s_j)\}_{j=1}^k \in C_{k;I}$ ($x_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $s_j \in L(I)$) be any fixed element and consider the fibre $\pi_{k,d}^{-1}(c)$. For each $1 \leq j \leq k$, we set $s_j = (s_{1,j}, \cdots, s_{n,j})$ and consider the condition

$$F(x_j) = (f_0(x_j), \cdots, f_{n-1}(x_j)) = s_j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f_t(x_j) = s_{t,j} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq n-1. \tag{4.4}$$

In general, the condition $f_t(x_j) = s_{t,j}$ gives one linear condition on the coefficients of f_t, and it determines an affine hyperplane in $\mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})$. For example, if we set $f_t(z) = z^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_{i,t} z^i$, then $f_t(x_j) = s_{t,j}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$ if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{d-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{d-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_k & x_k^2 & \cdots & x_k^{d-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_{0,t} \\ a_{1,t} \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1,t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{t,1} - x_1^d \\ s_{t,2} - x_2^d \\ \vdots \\ s_{t,k} - x_k^d \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.5}$$

Since $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k \in C_k(\mathbb{C})$, it follows from the properties of Vandermonde matrices that the condition (4.5) gives exactly k independent conditions on the coefficients of $f_t(z)$. Thus the space of polynomials $f_t(z)$ in $\mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})$ which satisfies (4.5) is the intersection of k affine hyperplanes in general position and has codimension k in $\mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, the fibre $\pi_{k,d}^{-1}(c)$ is homeomorphic to the product of an open $(k-1)$-simplex with the real affine space of dimension $2n(d-k)$. Since one can show that the local triviality holds, $\pi_{k,d}$ is a real affine bundle over $C_{k;I}$ of rank $l_{d,k} = 2n(d-k) + k - 1$. □

Remark 4.4. Suppose that $I = I(n)$. Then $L(I) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $s_{t,1} = \cdots = s_{t,k} = 0$ in (4.5). Hence, if (4.5) is satisfied, $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k$ is a set of k distinct roots of $f_t(z)$ for any $0 \leq t \leq n-1$. Because each $f_t(z)$ is a monic polynomial of degree d, we must have $k \leq d$. Hence, if $I = I(n)$ and $k \geq d+1$, $\mathcal{X}_k^d \setminus \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^d = \emptyset$ and thus $E_{k,s}^{1;d} = 0$ for any $k \geq d+1$. □

Lemma 4.5. *If $1 \leq k \leq d$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$E_{k,s}^{1;d} \cong \tilde{H}_c^{2nk-s}(C_{k;I}, \pm\mathbb{Z}),$$

where the twisted coefficients system $\pm\mathbb{Z}$ comes from the Thom isomorphism.

Proof. Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq d$. By Lemma 4.3, there is a homeomorphism $(\mathcal{X}_k^d \setminus \mathcal{X}_{k-1}^d)_+ \cong T(\xi_{d,k})$, where $T(\xi_{d,k})$ denotes the Thom space of $\xi_{d,k}$. Since $(2nd + k - s - 1) - l_{d,k} = 2nk - s$, by using the Thom isomorphism there is a natural isomorphism $E_{k,s}^{1;d} \cong \tilde{H}^{2nd+k-s-1}(T(\xi_{d,k}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}_c^{2nk-s}(C_{k;I}, \pm\mathbb{Z})$. □

4.2. Homomorphisms of spectral sequences. Recall $U_d = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(w) < d\}$ and the stabilization map $s_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \to \mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+1}(S^2, X_I)$ given by (2.5). Note that the map s_d extends to an open embedding

$$\overline{s}_d : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \to \mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+1}(S^2, X_I) \tag{4.6}$$

as in (2.7). In fact, by exactly the same argument, it also naturally extends to an open embedding $\tilde{s}_d : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathrm{P}^d(\mathbb{C})^n \to \mathrm{P}^{d+1}(\mathbb{C})^n$ and by restriction we get an open embedding

$$\tilde{s}_d : \mathbb{C}^n \times \Sigma_d \to \Sigma_{d+1}. \tag{4.7}$$

Because one-point compactification is contravariant for open embeddings, (4.7) induces the map $\tilde{s}_{d+} : \Sigma_{d+1+} \to (\mathbb{C}^d \times \Sigma_d)_+ = S^{2d} \wedge \Sigma_{d+}$. Note that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}_k(\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I), \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{s_{d*}} & \tilde{H}_k(\mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+1}(S^2, X_I), \mathbb{Z}) \\ {\scriptstyle Al}\downarrow\cong & & {\scriptstyle Al}\downarrow\cong \\ \tilde{H}_c^{2dn-k-1}(\Sigma_d, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}_{d+}^*} & \tilde{H}_c^{2(d+1)n-k-1}(\Sigma_{d+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \tag{4.8}$$

where Al denotes the Alexander duality isomorphism and $\tilde{s}_{d+}^*$ the composite of the homomorphisms

$$\tilde{H}_c^{2dn-k-1}(\Sigma_d, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}_c^{2(d+1)n-k-1}(\mathbb{C}^n \times \Sigma_d, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{s}_{d+})^*} \tilde{H}_c^{2(d+1)n-k-1}(\Sigma_{d+1}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

By the universality of the non-degenerate simplicial resolution ([15, Page 286-287]), the map $\tilde{s}_d$ (given by (4.7)) also naturally extends to a filtration preserving open embedding

$$\tilde{s}_d : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{X}^d \to \mathcal{X}^{d+1}. \tag{4.9}$$

This induces a filtration preserving map $(\tilde{s}_d)_+ : \mathcal{X}^{d+1}_+ \to (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{X}^d)_+ = S^{2n} \wedge \mathcal{X}^d_+$, and thus a homomorphism of spectral sequences

$$\{\tilde{\theta}^t_{k,s} : E^{t;d}_{k,s} \to E^{t;d+1}_{k,s}\}, \tag{4.10}$$

where for $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, $E^{1;d+\epsilon}_{k,s} = \tilde{H}_c^{2n(d+\epsilon)+k-1-s}(\mathcal{X}^d_k \setminus \mathcal{X}^d_{k-1}, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$\left\{E^{t;d+\epsilon}_{k,s}, \tilde{d}^t : E^{t;d+\epsilon}_{k,s} \to E^{t;d+\epsilon}_{k+t,s+t-1}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H_{s-k}(\mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+\epsilon}(S^2, X_I), \mathbb{Z}). \tag{4.11}$$

Lemma 4.6. *If $1 \le k \le d$, $\tilde{\theta}^1_{k,s} : E^{1;d}_{k,s} \to E^{1;d+1}_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism for any s.*

Proof. Suppose that $1 \le k \le d$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.3 that there is a homotopy commutative diagram of affine vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n \times (\mathcal{X}^d_k \setminus \mathcal{X}^d_{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & C_{k;I} \\ \downarrow & & \| \\ \mathcal{X}^{d+1}_k \setminus \mathcal{X}^{d+1}_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & C_{k;I} \end{array}$$

Hence, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{k,s}^{1,d} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & \tilde{H}_c^{2nk-s}(C_{k;I}, \pm\mathbb{Z}) \\ {\scriptstyle \tilde{\theta}_{k,s}^1}\downarrow & & \| \\ E_{k,s}^{1,d+1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & \tilde{H}_c^{2nk-s}(C_{k;I}, \pm\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

and the assertion follows. □

4.3. Truncated spectral sequences. Now we consider the spectral sequences induced by the truncated simplicial resolutions.

Definition 4.7. Let $X^\Delta(d)$ denote the truncated (after the d-th term) simplicial resolution of Σ_d with the natural filtration $\emptyset = X_0^\Delta \subset X_1^\Delta \subset \cdots \subset X_d^\Delta \subset X_{d+1}^\Delta = X_{d+2}^\Delta = \cdots = X^\Delta(d)$, where $X_k^\Delta = \mathcal{X}_k^d$ if $k \leq d$ and $X_k^\Delta = X^\Delta(d)$ if $k \geq d+1$.

Similarly, let $Y^\Delta(d)$ denote truncated (after the d-th term) simplicial resolution of Σ_{d+1} with the natural filtration $\emptyset = Y_0^\Delta \subset Y_1^\Delta \subset \cdots \subset Y_d^\Delta \subset Y_{d+1}^\Delta = Y_{d+2}^\Delta = \cdots = Y^\Delta(d)$, where $Y_k^\Delta = \mathcal{X}_k^{d+1}$ if $k \leq d$ and $Y_k^\Delta = Y^\Delta(d)$ if $k \geq d+1$.

Then, by using Lemma 3.5 and the same method as in [16, §2 and §3] (cf. [14, Lemma 2.2]), for $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ we obtain *the truncated spectral sequence*

$$\left\{E(d+\epsilon)_{k,s}^t, d^t : E(d+\epsilon)_{k,s}^t \to E(d+\epsilon)_{k+t,s+t-1}^t\right\} \Rightarrow H_{s-k}(\mathrm{Hol}_{d+\epsilon}^*(S^2, X_I), \mathbb{Z}),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} E(d)_{k,s}^1 &= \tilde{H}_c^{2nd+k-1-s}(X_k^\Delta \setminus X_{k-1}^\Delta, \mathbb{Z}), \\ E(d+1)_{k,s}^1 &= \tilde{H}_c^{2n(d+1)+k-1-s}(Y_k^\Delta \setminus Y_{k-1}^\Delta, \mathbb{Z}). \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.8. Note that the notation $E(d+1)_{k,s}^t$ refers to the spectral sequence of the simplicial resolution of $\mathcal{X}^{d+1}$ truncated after the d-th rather than $d+1$-th term. □

By the naturality of truncated simplicial resolutions, the filtration preserving map $\tilde{s}_d : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathcal{X}^d \to \mathcal{X}^{d+1}$ (given by (4.9)) gives rise to a natural filtration preserving map

$$\tilde{s}_d' : \mathbb{C}^n \times X^\Delta(d) \to Y^\Delta(d) \tag{4.12}$$

which, in a way analogous to (4.10), induces a homomorphism of spectral sequences

$$\{\theta_{k,s}^t : E(d)_{k,s}^t \to E(d+1)_{k,s}^t\}. \tag{4.13}$$

Lemma 4.9. *Let $r_{\min} = r_{\min}(I)$, and let $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$.*

(i) *If $k < 0$ or $k \geq d+2$, $E(d+\epsilon)_{k,s}^1 = 0$ for any s.*

(ii) *$E(d+\epsilon)_{0,0}^1 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $E(d+\epsilon)_{0,s}^1 = 0$ if $s \neq 0$.*

(iii) *If $1 \leq k \leq d$, there is a natural isomorphism $E(d+\epsilon)_{k,s}^1 \cong \tilde{H}_c^{2nk-s}(C_{k;I}, \pm\mathbb{Z})$.*

(iv) $E(d+\epsilon)^1_{d+1,s} = 0$ *for any* $s \leq (2r_{\min}-2)d-1$.

Proof. Since the proofs of both cases are identical, we will only consider the case $\epsilon = 0$. Since $X^\Delta_k = \mathcal{X}^d_k$ for any $k \geq d+2$, the assertions (i) and (ii) are clearly true. Since $X^\Delta_k = \mathcal{X}^d_k$ for any $k \leq d$, (iii) easily follows from Lemma 4.5. Thus it remains to prove (iv). By Lemma [16, Lemma 2.1],

$$\dim(X^\Delta_{d+1} \setminus X^\Delta_d) = \dim(\mathcal{X}^d_d \setminus \mathcal{X}^d_{d-1}) + 1 = (l_{d,d} + \dim C_{d;I}) + 1 = 2nd + 3d - 2r_{\min}d.$$

Because $E(d)^1_{d+1,s} = \tilde{H}^{2nd+d-s}_c(X^\Delta_{d+1} \setminus X^\Delta_d, \mathbb{Z})$ and $2nd + d - s > \dim(X^\Delta_{d+1} \setminus X^\Delta_d) \Leftrightarrow s \leq (2r_{\min}-2)d-1$, we see that $E(d)^1_{d+1,s} = 0$ for any $s \leq (2r_{\min}-2)d-1$. □

Lemma 4.10. *If* $1 \leq k \leq d$, $\theta^1_{k,s} : E(d)^1_{k,s} \to E(d+1)^1_{k,s}$ *is an isomorphism for any* s.

Proof. Since $(X^\Delta_k, Y^\Delta_k) = (\mathcal{X}^d_k, \mathcal{X}^{d+1}_k)$ for $k \leq d$, the assertion follows from Lemma 4.6. □

5 Homological stability.

In this section we prove Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 1.11.

5.1. Proof of the homology stability. First, we give a proof of Theorem 2.7.

Proof of Theorem 2.7. We write $r_{\min} = r_{\min}(I)$, and consider the homomorphism $\theta^t_{k,s} : E(d)^t_{k,s} \to E(d+1)^t_{k,s}$ of truncated spectral sequences given in (4.13). We want to show that the positive integer $D(I;d)$ has the following property:

(∗) $\theta^\infty_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism for all (k,s) such that $s-k \leq D(I;d)$.

By Lemma 4.9, $E(d)^1_{k,s} = E(d+1)^1_{k,s} = 0$ if $k < 0$, or if $k \geq d+2$, or if $k = d+1$ with $s \leq (2r_{\min}-2)d-1$. Because $(2r_{\min}-2)d-1-(d+1) = (2r_{\min}-3)d-2 = D(I;d)$, we see that:

$(*)_1$ if $k < 0$ or $k \geq d+1$, $\theta^\infty_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism for all (k,s) such that $s-k \leq D(I;d)$.

Next, we assume that $0 \leq k \leq d$, and investigate the condition that $\theta^\infty_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism. Note that the groups $E(d)^1_{k_1,s_1}$ and $E(d+1)^1_{k_1,s_1}$ are not known for $(k_1,s_1) \in \mathcal{S}_1 = \{(d+1,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : s \geq (2r_{\min}-2)d\}$. By considering the differential $d^1 : E(d+\epsilon)^1_{k,s} \to E(d+\epsilon)^1_{k+1,s}$ for $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$, and applying Lemma 4.10, we see that $\theta^2_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism if $(k,s) \notin \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$, where

$$\mathcal{S}_2 =: \{(k_1,s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (k_1+1,s_1) \in \mathcal{S}_1\} = \{(d,s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : s_1 \geq (2r_{\min}-2)d\}.$$

A similar argument shows that $\theta^3_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism if $(k,s) \notin \bigcup^3_{t=1} \mathcal{S}_t$, where $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{(k_1,s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (k_1+2,s_1+1) \in \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2\}$. Continuing in the same fashion, considering the differentials $d^t : E(d)^t_{k,s} \to E(d)^t_{k+t,s+t-1}$ and $d^t : E(d+1)^t_{k,s} \to E(d+1)^t_{k+t,s+t-1}$,

and applying the inductive hypothesis, we see that $\theta^{\infty}_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism if $(k,s) \notin \mathcal{S} := \bigcup_{t\geq 1} \mathcal{S}_t = \bigcup_{t\geq 1} A_t$, where A_t denotes the set

$$A_t := \left\{ (k_1,s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \text{There are positive integers } l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_t \text{ such that,} \\ 1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_t,\ k_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{t} l_j = d+1, \\ s_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{t}(l_j - 1) \geq (2r_{\min} - 2)d \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that if this set was empty for every t, then, of course, the conclusion of Theorem 2.7 would hold in all dimensions (this is known to be false in general). If $A_t \neq \emptyset$, it is easy to see that $a(t) = \min\{s-k : (k,s) \in A_t\} = (2r_{\min}-2)d - (d+1) + t = D(I;d) + t + 1$. Hence, $\min\{a(t) : t \geq 1, A_t \neq \emptyset\} = D(I;d) + 2$. Since $\theta^{\infty}_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism for any $(k,s) \notin \bigcup_{t\geq 1} A_t$ for each $0 \leq k \leq d$, we have the following:

$(*)_2$ If $0 \leq k \leq d$, $\theta^{\infty}_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism for any (k,s) such that $s - k \leq D(I;d) + 1$.

Then, by $(*)_1$ and $(*)_2$, we see that $\theta^{\infty}_{k,s} : E(d)^{\infty}_{k,s} \stackrel{\cong}{\to} E(d+1)^{\infty}_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism for any (k,s) if $s - k \leq D(I;d)$. So we see that s_d is a homology equivalence through dimension $D(I;d)$. □

Corollary 5.1. *The inclusion map $i_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I) \to \Omega^2_d X_I$ is a homology equivalence through dimension $D(I;d)$.*

Proof. The assertion easily follows from Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.7. □

Next, we prove Theorem 2.8. Since $L(I(n)) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we see that $C_{k;I} = C_k(\mathbb{C})$ if $I = I(n)$. To prove Theorem 2.8, we use the spectral sequence given in (4.2) for $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$,

$$\{E^{t;d+\epsilon}_{k,s}, d^t : E^{t;d+\epsilon}_{k,s} \to E^{t;d+\epsilon}_{k+t,s+t-1}\} \Rightarrow H_{s-k}(\mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+\epsilon}(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}), \mathbb{Z}). \tag{5.1}$$

The following result easily follows from Remark 4.4 and Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $I = I(n)$ and $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$.*

(i) *If $k < 0$ or $k \geq d+1+\epsilon$, $E^{1;d+\epsilon}_{k,s} = 0$ for any s.*

(ii) *$E^{1;d+\epsilon}_{0,0} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $E^{1;d+\epsilon}_{0,s} = 0$ if $s \neq 0$.*

(iii) *If $1 \leq k \leq d+\epsilon$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$E^{1;d+\epsilon}_{k,s} \cong \tilde{H}^{2nk-s}_c(C_k(\mathbb{C}), \pm\mathbb{Z}). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Consider the homomorphism $\{\tilde{\theta}^t_{k,s} : E^{t;d}_{k,s} \to E^{t;d+1}_{k,s}\}$ of spectral sequences given in (4.10). By using Lemma 5.2 we can easily show that $\tilde{\theta}^{\infty}_{k,s} : E^{\infty;d}_{k,s} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} E^{\infty;d+1}_{k,s}$ is an isomorphism for any (k,s) if the condition $s - k \leq D^*(d,n) = (2n-3)(d+1) - 1$ is satisfied. Hence, $s_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \to \mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+1}(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1})$ is a homology equivalence through dimension $D^*(d,n)$. □

Now we can also prove Theorem 1.11.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Assume that $n \geq 3$. It follows from Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.8 that the inclusion $i_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \to \Omega^2_d \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} \simeq \Omega^2 S^{2n-1}$ is a homology equivalence through dimension $D^*(d,n)$. However, if $n \geq 3$, the two spaces $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1})$ and $\Omega^2 S^{2n-1}$ are simply connected. Hence, i_d is a homotopy equivalence through dimension $D^*(d,n)$. □

5.2. Alternative proof. We give the another proof of Theorem 2.8 by using [2] and [4]. First, recall the following result.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(S^{2n-3})^{[k]}$ denote the k-fold wedge of S^{2n-3}, $(S^{2n-3})^{[k]} = S^{2n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^{2n-3}$ (k-times), and $D_k(2n-3)$ the k-th summand of $\Omega^2 S^{2n-1}$ given by $D_k(2n-3) = F(\mathbb{C}, k)_+ \wedge_{S_k} (S^{2n-3})^{[k]}$.*

Then the inclusion map $\hat{j}_d : \bigvee_{k=1}^{d} D_k(2n-3) \to \bigvee_{k=1}^{d+1} D_k(2n-3)$ is a homology equivalence through dimension $D^*(d,n) = (2n-3)(d+1) - 1$.

Proof. Since there is a homotopy equivalence $D_k(2n-3) \simeq \Sigma^{(2n-4)k} D_k(1)$ ([7, Theorem 1]) and $D_k(1)$ is the Thom complex of the vector bundle $F(\mathbb{C}, k) \times_{S_k} \mathbb{R}^k \to C_k(\mathbb{C})$, there is an isomorphism $\tilde{H}_j(D_k(2n-3), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}_{j-(2n-4)k}(D_k(1), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}_{j-(2n-3)k}(C_k(\mathbb{C}), \pm\mathbb{Z})$ for any k (cf. [20, Lemma 1, page 113]). Hence we see that $H_j(D_{d+1}(2n-3), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq D^*(d,n)$ and $\hat{j}_d$ is a homology equivalence through dimension $D^*(d,n)$. □

An alternative proof of Theorem 2.8. Let $D_k := D_k(2n-3)$ and define the map $\iota^n_d : \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \to \bigvee_{k=1}^{d} D_k(2n-3) = \bigvee_{k=1}^{d} D_k$ by the map of composite

$$\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \xrightarrow[\subset]{i_d} \Omega^2_d \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} \simeq \Omega^2 S^{2n-1} \xrightarrow[\simeq_s]{\hat{sn}} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k \xrightarrow{pr} \bigvee_{k=1}^{d} D_k,$$

where $\hat{sn}$ and pr denote the Snaith splitting map [19] and the natural projection, respectively. Note that $\iota^n_{d+\epsilon}$ is a stable homotopy equivalence for $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ [4]. It follows from [2] that the space $\mathrm{Hol}^*(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) = \coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1})$ has a C_2-structure and that the inclusion map $i : \mathrm{Hol}^*(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \to \Omega^2 \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ is a C_2-map. Hence, there is a stable homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{s_d} & \mathrm{Hol}^*_{d+1}(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}) \\ {\scriptstyle \iota^n_d}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \simeq_s} & & {\scriptstyle \iota^n_{d+1}}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \simeq_s} \\ \bigvee_{k=1}^{d} D_k & \xrightarrow[\subset]{\hat{j}_d} & \bigvee_{k=1}^{d+1} D_k \end{array}$$

Then by Lemma 5.3 the map s_d is a homology equivalence through dimension $D^*(d,n)$. □

6 Polyhedral products and the space X_I.

In this section, we review some basic facts concerning the topology of the space X_I which were omitted in [11].

6.1. Polyhedral products. We continue to assume that $[n] = \{0, 1, 2 \cdots, n-1\}$.

Definition 6.1. Let K be a simplicial complex on the index set $[n]$ and let $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = ((X_0, A_0), \cdots, (X_{n-1}, A_{n-1}))$ be an n-tuple of pairs of spaces such that $A_i \subset X_i$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_K(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ denote *the polyhedral product of* $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ *with respect to* K defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_K(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma \subset \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k = X_0 \times \cdots \times X_{n-1}, \tag{6.1}$$

where we set $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma := \{(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k : x_k \in A_k \text{ if } k \notin \sigma\}$. If $(X_j, A_j) = (X, A)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, we set $(X, A)^\sigma = (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$ and $\mathcal{Z}_K(X, A) = \mathcal{Z}_K(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$.

Example 6.2 ([3], Example 6.39)**.** (i) The space $\mathcal{Z}_K = \mathcal{Z}_K(D^2, S^1)$ is called *the moment-angle complex of* K. Taking $D^2 = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| \leq 1\}$ and $S^1 = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| = 1\}$, we have $\mathcal{Z}_K \subset U(K) = \mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

(ii) The space $DJ(K) = \mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty, *)$ is called *the Davis-Januszkiwicz space of* K.

Lemma 6.3. $\vee^I X = \mathcal{Z}_{K(I)}(X, *)$.

Proof. First, suppose that $(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{Z}_{K(I)}(X, *)$. Then $(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in (X, *)^\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in K(I)$. Since $\sigma \in K(I)$, $L_\sigma \not\subset L_\tau$ for any $\tau \in I$. On the other hand, $\sigma_1 \subset \sigma_2$ if and only if $L_{\sigma_2} \subset L_{\sigma_1}$ for $\sigma_k \in [n]$ $(k = 1, 2)$, hence $\tau \not\subset \sigma$ for any $\tau \in I$. Thus, for each $\tau \in I$ there is an element $j \in \tau \setminus \sigma$. Since $j \notin \sigma$, $x_j = *$. Hence, $(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in \vee^I X$ and we conclude that $\mathcal{Z}_{K(I)}(X, *) \subset \vee^I X$.

Next, suppose that $(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in \vee^I X$ and set $\sigma = \{i \in [n] : x_i \neq *\}$. We shall show that $\sigma \in K(I)$. Suppose that, on the contrary, $\sigma \notin K(I)$. Then $L_\sigma \subset \bigcup_{\tau \in I} L_\tau$. Then, from the definition of coordinate subspaces we see that there has to be some element $\tau \in I$ such that $L_\sigma \subset L_\tau$. Hence, $\tau \subset \sigma$. Because $(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in \vee^I X$, $x_j = *$ for some $j \in \tau \subset \sigma$. However, since $j \in \sigma$, $x_j \neq *$, by the definition of σ, which is a contradiction. Hence $\sigma \in K(I)$. Since $x_j = *$ if $j \notin \sigma$, by the definition of σ, we see that $(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in (X, *)^\sigma \subset \mathcal{Z}_{K(I)}(X, *)$ and hence $\vee^I X \subset \mathcal{Z}_{K(I)}(X, *)$. □

Corollary 6.4. $DJ(K(I)) = \vee^I \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty$. □

Example 6.5. (i) If $I = J(n)$, $DJ(K(J(n))) = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty$ (n-times).

(ii) If $I = I(n)$, $DJ(K(I(n))) = F_n(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)$, where $F_n(X)$ denotes the fat wedge defined by $F_n(X) = \{(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in X^n : x_j = * \text{ for some } 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$.

Lemma 6.6 ([3]). *Let K be a simplicial complex on the index set $[n]$, and let $\mathbb{T}^n$ denote the n dimensional torus, $\mathbb{T}^n = (S^1)^n$.*

(i) *There is a $\mathbb{T}^n$-equivariant deformation retraction $r : U(K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{Z}_K$.*

(ii) *$\mathcal{Z}_K$ is the homotopy fibre of the inclusion map $DJ(K) \xrightarrow{\subset} (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)^n$.*

Proof. Both assertions follow from [3, Theorem 8.9, Corollary 6.30]. □

6.2. The topology of the space X_I. Next, we review several basic facts concerning the topology of the space X_I.

Lemma 6.7 ([11]). *The space X_I is simply-connected and $\pi_2(X_I) = \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. There is a homotopy equivalence $Y_I = U(K(I)) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_{K(I)}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{K(I)}$ is 2-connected [3, Theorem 6.33]. Thus Y_I is 2-connected. Now the assertion easily follows from the $\mathbb{C}^*$-principal bundle sequence (1.4). □

We will next consider a fibration sequence (6.2), which generalizes the fibration sequence given in [18, page 44] and was used in [11]. But the details of its construction were omitted in [11], and instead the reader was referred to an analogous argument in [18]. For the sake of completeness of this paper, we give a different proof by using basic properties of polyhedral products.

Proposition 6.8 ([11]). *There is a fibration sequence up to homotopy equivalence*

$$X_I \xrightarrow{q_I} \vee^I \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)^{n-1}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. Let $G = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = \mathbb{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ and consider the natural G-action on Y_I by coordinate-wise multiplication. This action naturally induces a fibration sequence

$$G = \mathbb{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\iota_1'} Y_I \xrightarrow{\iota_1} EG \times_G Y_I \xrightarrow{q_1} BG = (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)^n, \tag{6.3}$$

where the map ι_1' is the inclusion map. Similarly, let $G_1 = \mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and recall the G_1-action on X_I given by (1.5). This also induces a fibration sequence

$$G_1 = \mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\iota_2'} X_I \xrightarrow{\iota_2} EG_1 \times_{G_1} X_I \xrightarrow{q_2} BG_1 = (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)^{n-1}, \tag{6.4}$$

where the map ι_2' is given by $\iota_2'(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}) = [1 : t_1 : \cdots : t_{n-1}]$. If $\pi_1 : G \to G_1$ denotes the map given by $\pi_1(t_0, \cdots, t_{n-1}) = (t_0^{-1}t_1, \cdots, t_0^{-1}t_{n-1})$, we have a commutative diagram of principal bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & G \times Y_I & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times p_I} & G_1 \times X_I \\ {\scriptstyle a''}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle a'}\downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & Y_I & \xrightarrow{p_I} & X_I \end{array} \tag{6.5}$$

where three vertical maps a, a' and a'' are given by the group actions. Now let $f : EG \times_G Y_I \to EG_1 \times_{G_1} X_I$ denote the map defined by $f = E\pi_1 \times_{\pi_1} p_I$ and let F be its homotopy fibre. Then it follows from the diagram (6.5) and [8, (2.1)] that there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & B\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G & \xrightarrow{\iota'_1} & Y_I & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & EG \times_G Y_I & \xrightarrow{q_1} & BG = (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)^n \\
{\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p_I}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle B\pi_1}\downarrow \\
G_1 & \xrightarrow{\iota'_2} & X_I & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & EG_1 \times_{G_1} X_I & \xrightarrow{q_2} & BG_1 = (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)^{n-1}
\end{array}$$

where all the horizontal and vertical sequences are fibration sequences. From the definitions of the maps ι'_1 and ι'_2, we see that the map g is the identity (up to homotopy). Hence, F is contractible and f is a homotopy equivalence. Thus there is a fibration sequence (up to homotopy)

$$X_I \longrightarrow EG \times_G Y_I \longrightarrow BG_1 = (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)^{n-1}. \tag{6.6}$$

On the other hand, it follows from the proof of [3, Corollary 6.29] that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$E\mathbb{T}^n \times_{\mathbb{T}^n} \mathcal{Z}_{K(I)} \simeq DJ(K(I)). \tag{6.7}$$

Hence, by Lemma 1.2, Lemma 6.6, (6.7) and Corollary 6.4, we have homotopy equivalences

$$EG \times_G Y_I \;=\; EG \times_G U(K(I)) \simeq E\mathbb{T}^n \times_{\mathbb{T}^n} \mathcal{Z}_{K(I)} \simeq DJ(K(I)) = \vee^I \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty.$$

Substituting into (6.6), we obtain the the fibration sequence (6.2). □

Corollary 6.9. *The map* $\Omega^2 q_I : \Omega^2_0 X_I \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^2(\vee^I \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1})$ *is a homotopy equivalence.* □

7 The fan of $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I$ and the proof of the main result.

In this section we shall consider the fan $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I$ associated to the toric variety X_I and give a proof of our main result (Theorem 1.10).

7.1. The fan Σ_I. First, consider the fan Σ_I of the toric variety X_I.

Definition 7.1. Let $\{\boldsymbol{e}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{e}_{n-1}\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and set $\boldsymbol{e}_0 = -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \boldsymbol{e}_k$, where $\boldsymbol{e}_1 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0), \cdots, \ \boldsymbol{e}_{n-1} = (0, \cdots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

For a proper subset $\sigma \subsetneqq [n]$, let Cone_σ denote *the rational polyhedral cone* in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined by

$$\mathrm{Cone}_\sigma = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{e}_{i_s}) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^s a_k \boldsymbol{e}_{i_k} : a_k \geq 0 \right\} & \text{if } \sigma = \{i_1, \cdots, i_s\}, \\ \{\mathbf{0}\} & \text{if } \sigma = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

As $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}$ is the fan of the toric variety $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$, it is well known that

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)} = \big\{\mathrm{Cone}_\sigma : \sigma \subsetneqq [n]\big\}. \tag{7.1}$$

Since X_I is a $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant subspace of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$, we have:

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_I \subset \mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)} = \big\{\mathrm{Cone}_\sigma : \sigma \subsetneqq [n]\big\}. \tag{7.2}$$

By part (i) of Remark 1.3, we also have:

$$\big\{\mathrm{Cone}_\sigma : \sigma \in K(I)\big\} \subset \mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)} = \big\{\mathrm{Cone}_\sigma : \sigma \subsetneqq [n]\big\}. \tag{7.3}$$

Since $K(I)$ is a simplicial complex, we easily see that the set $\big\{\mathrm{Cone}_\sigma : \sigma \in K(I)\big\}$ is a fan in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In fact, we can show the following:

Proposition 7.2. $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I = \big\{\mathrm{Cone}_\sigma : \sigma \in K(I)\big\}$.

Proof. Recall the construction of the fan of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ by means of the orbit-cone correspondence [6, §3.2]. For each $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, consider the map $\chi^{\boldsymbol{u}} : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ defined by $\chi^{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) = [1 : t^{u_1} : \cdots : t^{u_{n-1}}]$. Because $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ is compact, $\lim_{t\to 0} \chi^{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)$ exists in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ and it is easy to see that $\lim_{t\to 0} \chi^{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) = [\epsilon] = [\epsilon_0 : \cdots : \epsilon_{n-1}]$, where $\epsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \cdots, \epsilon_{n-1}) \neq \mathbf{0}$. For each $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \cdots, \epsilon_{n-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, let $\sigma(\epsilon)$ denote the subset $\sigma(\epsilon) \subsetneqq [n]$ given by $\sigma(\epsilon) = \{k \in [n] : \epsilon_k = 0\}$.

Now let $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ be an element such that $\sigma(\epsilon) = \{i_1, \cdots, i_s\}$. An easy computation shows that $\lim_{t\to 0} \chi^{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) = [\epsilon]$ iff $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathrm{int}(\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{e}_{i_s}))$, where $\mathrm{int}(A)$ denotes the interior of a subspace $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Hence, by the orbit-cone correspondence

$$\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{e}_{i_s}) \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_I \;\Leftrightarrow\; [\epsilon] \in X_I \Leftrightarrow\; \epsilon \notin \bigcup_{\sigma \notin K(I)} L_\sigma \;\Leftrightarrow\; \sigma(\epsilon) \in K(I).$$

In other words, $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I = \{\mathrm{Cone}_\sigma : \sigma \in K(I)\}$.[5] □

Corollary 7.3. *If $I = J(n)$, then $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{J(n)} = \big\{\{\mathbf{0}\},\ \mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k) : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\big\}$. In particular, $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{J(n)}$ contains all one dimensional cones in $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}$.* □

For a fan $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, let $\mathbf{\Sigma}(1)$ denote the set consisting of all one dimensional cones in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$.

Corollary 7.4. $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I(1) = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}(1) = \{\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k) : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$.

Proof. Note that $X_{J(n)} \subset X_I \subset \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$, and that $X_{J(n)}$ and X_I are $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant subspaces of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$. Hence, $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{J(n)} \subset \mathbf{\Sigma}_I \subset \mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}$, and so that $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{J(n)}(1) \subset \mathbf{\Sigma}_I(1) \subset \mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}(1)$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}(1) = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{J(n)}(1) = \{\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k) : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$ by Corollary 7.3. Hence we see that $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I(1) = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}(1) = \{\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k) : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$. □

[5] If $\epsilon = (1, \cdots, 1)$, $\sigma(\epsilon) = \emptyset$. In this case, since $\lim_{t\to 0} \chi^{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) = [\epsilon] = [1 : \cdots : 1]$ iff $\boldsymbol{u} = \mathbf{0}$, the zero dimensional cone $\{\mathbf{0}\} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_I$ corresponds to the element $\sigma(\epsilon) = \emptyset \in K(I)$.

Remark 7.5. It follows easily from Corollary 7.4 that (1.8) is a homogeneous coordinate representation on the toric variety X_I (cf. [6, Chapter 5]). □

Definition 7.6. Let $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ be a fan in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and let $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ denote the toric variety associated to $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. As there are no non-constant holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1$ to $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we assume that $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \neq \mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $\mathbf{\Sigma}(1) = \{\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_r\}$. [6] For each $1 \leq k \leq r$, let $\boldsymbol{n}_k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ denote *the primitive element of* ρ_k (i.e. $\rho_k \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_k$.)

We say that a set of primitive elements $\{\boldsymbol{n}_{i_t}\}_{t=1}^s = \{\boldsymbol{n}_{i_1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{i_s}\}$ is *primitive* if the whole set does not lie in any cone in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ but any of its proper subsets spans a cone in $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ([6, page 304]). Let $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$ denote the positive integer

$$r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \min\{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} : \{\boldsymbol{n}_{i_t}\}_{t=1}^s \text{ is primitive for some } \{i_t\}_{t=1}^s \subset \{k\}_{k=1}^r\}. \tag{7.4}$$

Note that $1 \leq r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \leq r$ if $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \neq \mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Example 7.7. (i) If $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}$, $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{I(n)}(1) = \{\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k) : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$. Since the primitive generator of $\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k)$ is $\boldsymbol{e}_k$ and $\{\boldsymbol{e}_0, \cdots, \boldsymbol{e}_{n-1}\}$ is the only primitive set, $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{I(n)}}} = n$.

(ii) Similarly, because $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{J(n)} = \{\mathbf{0},\ \mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k) : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$, the set $\{\boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{e}_j\}$ is primitive for any $0 \leq i < j \leq n-1$. Hence, $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{J(n)}}} = 2$. □

Lemma 7.8. $r_{\min}(I) = r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_I}$.

Proof. Recall that (1.8) is a homogeneous coordinate representation on the toric variety X_I, and consider the closed variety $Z = \bigcup_{\sigma \notin K(I)} L_\sigma$. Since $Z = \bigcup_{\sigma \in I} L_\sigma$ (as in Lemma 1.2) and $r_{\min}(I) = \min\{\mathrm{card}(\sigma) : \sigma \in I\}$, the maximum dimension of an irreducible component of Z is $n - r_{\min}(I)$. However, it follows from [6, Prop. 5.1.6] that $Z = \bigcup_{\{\boldsymbol{n}_{i_1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{i_s}\}:\ \text{primitive}} L_{\{i_1, \cdots, i_s\}}$. Hence, its maximum dimension is also equal to $n - r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_I}$, and we have the equality $r_{\min}(I) = r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_I}$. □

Remark 7.9. Since $\mathbf{\Sigma}_I(1) = \{\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k)\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ and the primitive element of the cone $\mathrm{Cone}(\boldsymbol{e}_k)$ is $\boldsymbol{e}_k$, we can easily see that $d_1 = \cdots = d_n = d$, where $d_1, \cdots, d_n$ are the degrees of polynomials representing the morphism in Cox coordinates. Hence, by Lemma 7.8, $D_*(d_1, \cdots, d_n; 1) = (2r_{\min}(I) - 3)d - 2 = D(I; d)$. Thus the stability dimension given in Theorem 1.13 and that in Theorem 1.10 are same. □

Lemma 7.10. *The space* $\Omega^2_d X_I$ *is* $2(r_{\min}(I) - 2)$*-connected.*

Proof. By (1.4), we see that there is a homotopy equivalence $\Omega^2_d X_I \simeq \Omega^2 U(K(I))$. However, by using [13, Lemma 5.4 (cf. Remark 5.5)], we see that $U(K(I))$ is $2(r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_I} - 1)$-connected. Hence, as $r_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_I} = r_{\min}(I)$ (by Lemma 7.8), $\Omega^2 U(K(I))$ is $2(r_{\min}(I) - 2)$-connected and so is $\Omega^2_d X_I$. □

Lemma 7.11. *If* $r_{\min}(I) \geq 3$*, the space* $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ *is simply connected.*

[6] If $X_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \mathbb{T}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}(1)$ is the empty set $\emptyset$.

Proof. Since $r_{\min}(I) \geq 3$, $\Omega^2_d X_I$ is at least 2-connected (by Lemma 7.10). Hence, by Theorem 1.9, if $d \geq 2$, the inclusion i_d induces the isomorphism

$$i_{d*} : \pi_1(\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)) \overset{\cong}{\to} \pi_1(\Omega^2_d X_I) = 0.$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ is simply connected for $d \geq 2$. It remains to prove the case $d = 1$. Note that $\mathrm{Hol}^*_1(S^2, X_I)$ may be identified with the space

$$\big\{(\alpha_0, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n : (\alpha_{i_1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i_s}) \neq (\alpha, \cdots, \alpha) \text{ for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ if } \{i_k\}_{k=1}^s \in I\big\}.$$

Let $H(n)$ denote the group of pure braids on n strings. Then one can show that the group $\pi_1(\mathrm{Hol}^*_1(S^2, X_I))$ is isomorphic to the quotient group of $H(n)$ and that it is also an abelian group by using the same method as in [11, §Appendix]. Thus there is an isomorphism $\pi_1(\mathrm{Hol}^*_1(S^2, X_I)) \cong H_1(\mathrm{Hol}^*_1(S^2, X_I), \mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, since $r_{\min}(I) \geq 3$, by Corollary 5.1 there is an isomorphism $i_{1*} : H_1(\mathrm{Hol}^*_1(S^2, X_I), \mathbb{Z}) \overset{\cong}{\to} H_1(\Omega^2_d X_I, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Hence, $\pi_1(\mathrm{Hol}^*_1(S^2, X_I)) = 0$ and the assertion follows. □

7.2. Proof of the main result. Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. Recall that the map i_d is a homology equivalence through dimension $D(I; d)$ (by Corollary 5.1). Since $r_{\min}(I) \geq 3$, it follows from Lemma 7.10 and Lemma 7.11 that $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ and $\Omega^2_d X_I$ are simply connected. Hence, the map i_d is a homotopy equivalence through dimension $D(I; d)$. □

Corollary 7.12. *The space* $\mathrm{Hol}^*_d(S^2, X_I)$ *is* $2(r_{\min}(I) - 2)$*-connected.*

Proof. If $r_{\min}(I) = 2$, this is trivial. If $r_{\min}(I) \geq 3$, the assertion follows from Theorem 1.10 and Lemma 7.10. □

Acknowledgements. The authors should like to take this opportunity to thank Masahiro Ohno for his many valuable insights and suggestions concerning toric varieties.

References

[1] M. Adamaszek, A. Kozlowski and K. Yamaguchi, Spaces of algebraic and continuous maps between real algebraic varieties, Quart. J. Math. **62** (2011), 771–790.

[2] C. P. Boyer and B. M. Mann, Monopole, non-linear σ-models and two-fold loop spaces, Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988), 571–594.

[3] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov, Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics, Univ. Lecture Note Series **24**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 2002.

[4] F. R. Cohen, R. L. Cohen, B. M. Mann and R. J. Milgram, The topology of rational functions and divisors of surfaces, Acta Math. **166** (1991), 163–221.

[5] D. A. Cox, The functor of a smooth toric variety, Tohoku Math. J. **47** (1995), 251–262.

[6] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little and H. K. Schenck, Toric varieties, Graduate Studies in Math. **124**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.

[7] F. R. Cohen, M. E Mahowald and R. J. Milgram, The stable decomposition for the double loop space of a sphere, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **33** (1978), 225–228.

[8] F. R. Cohen, J. C. Moore and J. A. Neisendorfer, The double suspension and exponents of the homotopy groups of spheres, Ann. Math., **110** (1979), 549–565.

[9] M. A. Guest, On the space of holomorphic maps from the Riemann sphere to the quadric cone, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **45** (1994), 57–75.

[10] M. A. Guest, The topology of the space of rational curves on a toric variety, Acta Math. **174** (1995), 119–145.

[11] M. A. Guest, A. Kozlowski and K. Yamaguchi, The topology of spaces of coprime polynomials, Math. Z. **217** (1994), 435–446.

[12] M. A. Guest, A. Kozlowski and K. Yamaguchi, Spaces of polynomials with roots of bounded multiplicity, Fund. Math. **116** (1999), 93–117.

[13] A. Kozlowski, M. Ohno and K. Yamaguchi, Spaces of algebraic maps from real projective spaces to toric varieties, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan (ArXix:1312.6797).

[14] A. Kozlowski and K. Yamaguchi, Simplicial resolutions and spaces of algebraic maps between real projective spaces, Topology Appl. **160** (2013), 87–98.

[15] J. Mostovoy, Spaces of rational maps and the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, Topology **45** (2006), 281–293.

[16] J. Mostovoy, Truncated simplicial resolutions and spaces of rational maps, Quart. J. Math. **63** (2012), 181–187.

[17] J. Mostovoy and E. Munguia-Villanueva, Spaces of morphisms from a projective space to a toric variety, Rev. Colombiana Mat. **48** (2014), 41-53.

[18] G. B. Segal, The topology of spaces of rational functions, Acta Math. **143** (1979), 39–72.

[19] V. P. Snaith, A stable decomposition of $\Omega^n S^n X$, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1974), 577–583.

[20] V. A. Vassiliev, Complements of discriminants of smooth maps, Topology and Applications, Amer. Math. Soc., Translations of Math. Monographs **98**, 1992 (revised edition 1994).